# Dynamic Linkage among Pakistan, Emerging and Developed Equity Market

# Faisal Khan, Romana Bangash and Muhammad Mohsin Khan

Institute of Management Sciences, Peshawar

The study evaluates the relationship of Pakistan Stock Exchange<sup>1</sup> (PSX) with progressing and well-established equity markets from 1997 to 2014. The Johansen's multivariate cointegration tests i.e. maximum eigenvalue & trace statistics proposes three co-integrating vectors at 5% critical values with the progressing and well-established equity markets. Furthermore, vector correction model implies that PSX is positive and statistically robust in relation to India at lag 2, while negative and statistically significant in relation to China at lag 1 and USA at lag 2. Though, the bivariate co-integration trace and maximal eigenvalues recommends that the PSX individually have no relationship with other markets. This study further recommends that PSX is extremely unpredictable stock market in between from 44% to -24%. It might be desired by risky investors.

Keywords: PSX, Asian emerging equity markets, developed equity markets, Co-integration

The estimation of cointegration amongst World's stock markets gets significant status because of economy, as a global phenomenon. At present the flow of investment funds throughout the world is enhanced by state-of-the-art technology, advanced buying/selling structure & novelty of financial assets/instruments in financial markets. It develops stake of both practitioners and academicians to study and bring together world stock markets. The correlation between the world's stock markets has been improvised, once global stock market was collapse in October 1987 and hence more in Asian financial turmoil of 1997. Several scholars previously have evaluated this affiliation between the progressing and well-established world's stock markets.

Because of globalization and state of art technology, investor/s attitude is frequently fluctuating in stock market worldwide; that could be imparted in the smooth growth of economies. This could be result in fragile economies towards amalgamation between newly growing economies, like NAFTA, ASEAN, EU, Scandinavian states & MENA. It minimizes probability of loss of fiscal downfalls in almost every associated stock market which is favorable indication for the prosperity of global economy. Pakistan stock exchange is seen as an uprising stock market where their financial framework is in a rising phase. Asjeet (2005) evaluated the short and long-term association between the well-known stock markets of South Asia i.e. Sri Lanka, India and Pakistan with those of developed equity markets of the globe like UK, USA and Japan. Their research paper determined that selected Asian markets are comparatively separate from prominent established ones and came to know that South Asian stock markets are getting highly combined with each other but comparatively at a sluggish speed. Because of divergence in uprising stock markets, there are further possibilities for fund managers & institutional investors to diversify their investment via construction of portfolio diversification. The cointegration among well established and emerging stock markets is utmost need to optimize diversification via portfolio for potential investors. Particularly, after 1997 due to Asian financial crisis the parameter of influence of cointegration between Asian emerging equity markets went upbeat. The examination of cointegration of Pakistan Stock Exchange with other uprising stock markets is of interest, as PSX became conspicuously famous market since 2002. This research aims on evaluating the cointegration of Pakistan stock market with five Asian emerging stock indices that is BSE, KLSE, JCI, HIS and CSE & six developed stock markets that is NIKKEI, NYSE, FTSE100, AORD, CAC100 & DAX.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX) was formerly named as Karachi Stock exchange (KSE). However, the indices are still named same as previous i.e. KSE100, KSE 30 etc.

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr. Romana bangash, Assistant Professor, Institute of Management Sciences, Peshawar.Email: romana.bangash@imsciences.edu.pk

# Khan, Bangash, Khan

#### **Literature Review**

Kashif and Arshad (2011) studied the causal and constantly changing affiliation of KSE100 index with developing stock markets of Malaysia, China, Turkey, Hong Kong, Thailand, Brazil, Indonesia and India. Further their study also considers developed equity markets of UK, France, Japan and U.S.A for period of 1998 to 2008. By using Johansen co-integration method, result shows that KSE100 index have long run affiliation with Brazil and Indonesian stock markets & short-run relation with China stock market. Apart from this, result shows that equity markets of Nikkei225, JCI, SCI, KLSE, ISE, BCI, BSE & SET granger causes to KSE100, whereas KSE100 index granger cause to KLSE, SET, HSI & JCI. Iqbal et al., (2011) evaluated the constantly changing relation among uprising equity markets of Pakistan, India and well-established stock market of U.S.A for time of 2003 to 2009. Their study uses Johansen cointegration method and came to know that there is no cointegration among equity markets of Pakistan, India and U.S.A. On the other hand, outcomes from granger causality technique implied that U.S.A granger cause to Pakistan & Indian stock markets. Worthing and Higgs (2006) tested the weedy kind of market competence among ten Asian newly establishing equity markets which includes Indian, Chines, Korean, Indonesian, Pakistani, Malaysian, Srilankan, Philippines, Thailand and Taiwan equity market along-with five well established stock markets are Hong Kong, Australian, New Zealand, Japanese and Singapore equity market. Their study analyzed daily data for period of 1987 to 2003 with help of variance ratio technique. It showed that all chosen establishing stock markets are featured by haphazard trends whereas a few established stock markets like Hong Kong, Japan and New Zealand stock market shows haphazard walk. Lim et al., (2007) tested weak kind of efficacy in ten Asian markets which include Chines, Indonesian, Indian, Korean, Malaysian, Pakistani, Philippines, Taiwan, Srilankan and Thailand. The bi-correlation technique exhibits that these Asian indices have competence to connect with each other for a longer time. Asjeet (2005) carried out a study to evaluate the longrun and short-run relationship between the stock markets of South Asia. It composed of Srilanka, India and Pakistan and of three main established stock markets that is U.K, U.S.A and Japan for period of 1997 to 2003. For this purpose, Johansen's co-integration test is used. The outcomes were that the India equity market is influenced by chosen well-established stock markets which are U.K, U.S.A and Japan. The terrorist attacks on  $11^{th}$ September 2001 on U.S.A effect on Indian stock market. The research similarly arrived at the result that Pakistan and Srilanka are relatively separated from mainstream established markets whereas it is also found that the chosen Asian stock markets are step by step adhering to each other. Majid et al., (2007) focused eight stock markets of OIC to test financial relationship among OIC markets. It comprises four Middle East and North Africa areas. The study considers daily data for period of January 2002 to December 2006 to find out the amalgamation between predetermined variables. The study concluded that worldwide integration only existed among equity markets of Indonesia, Malaysia and established markets and no amalgamation is founded among Pakistan, Bangladesh and established markets. The research further resulted in no indication of amalgamation between OIC markets. Arshad et al., (2008) evaluated the long run association between Pakistan stock markets and established world through the use of Johansen and Juselius (1990) cointegration technique. It was established that PSX is linked with the established markets. Whereas pair wise co-integration technique elaborated that PSX is not linked with U.K, Canada, USA, Italian, Australian and German stock markets. Though, PSX is linked with France and Japan stock markets. Kadir and Suzan (2010) carried out a research to find out the financial amalgamation in Balkans by using multivariate co-integration between four uprising markets. They came to know indications of co-integration between the Balkans equity market indexes. Wang (2014) took into account the co-integration among key East Asian equity markets with respect to earlier and later on global financial crisis. This research resulted that the global financial crisis during 2007-2009 fortified the correlation among the East Asia equity markets. These markets were less responsive to the shocks in Unites States after global financial downfalls. Arsyad (2015) applied the Johansen cointegration to test the long run relationship among the Southeast and East Asian security markets. The Johansen test concludes in the existence of long-run relations among Southeast and East Asian markets. Apart from this, it also exhibits that the ASEAN\_3 countries perform different to external shocks. The research further applied the Granger-causality test to decide about the shortrun relationship among given markets. This test result exhibits that the Japan and Singapore Granger influence all the existing equity markets in Southeast and East Asia respectively.

Currently due to of globalization, the approaches of individual and institutional investors are quickly altering in the global stock market. It could be result in fragile economies towards combination amongst uprising

# EMERGING AND DEVELOPED EQUITY MARKET

economies. Due to globalization and novelty and improvement in technology, the investments drift into the financial assets increased extremely. Apart from this, numerous stock markets in globe are inclining towards amalgamation similarly MENA, NAFTA, EU, ASEAN and Scandinavian states. It permits investors to invest in portfolio and decrease the uncertainty of financial decline in any equity market.

Pakistan is never categorized in such integration although Pakistan stock exchange is thought of as an uprising stock market and their financial framework & industries are in a rising phase. It is on record that Asian markets are comparatively separated from the main established ones and found that South Asian stock markets are getting further connected with each other, though comparatively low rate. The outcome of this research study may assist in understanding close integration of equity markets with Pakistan.

#### Method

This study considers monthly data of twelve equity indices from August 1997 to October 2014. It includes Pakistan stock exchange plus five Asian uprising and well-established stock markets. It includes India, Malaysia, Indonesia, Hong Kong and China, Japan, USA, UK, Germany, France and Australia. The sources utilized in this study are Yahoo Finance and other web sources. The natural log is applied to estimate compound return (Table 1). To examine the cointegration among given stock market, this research use Johansen cointegration and Granger Causality technique. Further, unit root tests are used to exam the stationarity that is Phillips-Perron Test (1988) and Augmented Dickey Fuller Test (1981). The mathematical expression of ADF test with constant and trend and without trend is:

$$\Delta zt = \gamma 1 + \gamma 2t + \beta zt - 1 + \pi \sum_{t=1}^{n} \Delta zt - 1 + \mu t$$

$$\Delta zt = \gamma 1 + \beta zt - 1 + \pi \sum_{t=1}^{n} \Delta zt - 1 + \mu t$$
(eq.1)
(eq.2)

Equation 1 contains both constant ( $\gamma$ 1) and trend term ( $\gamma$ 2) and Equation 2 contains constant trend only. Whereas,  $\Delta$  and  $\mu t$  is a difference operator and error term respectively with zero mean and constant variance.

The mathematical expression of Phillips-Perron test with a constant and trend and without trend is:

$\Delta zt =$	$\alpha 1 + \alpha 2t + \pi zt - 1 + \mu t$	(3)
$\Delta zt =$	$\alpha 1 + \pi zt - 1 + \mu t$	(4)

Equation 3 above contains constant ( $\alpha 1$ ) and ( $\alpha 2$ ) trend, while equation 4 contains constant term ( $\alpha 1$ ) only. The null hypothesis in equation (3) and (4) is  $\pi = 0$ .

Johansen's (1991) technique is used for examining the cointegration among given indices. It checks the presence of long-run relationship among the given indices. This technique allows multiple co-integrating relationships, which is mostly valid than Engle and Granger test that is grounded on Dickey and Fuller (1979, 1981) unit root test in residuals from a single co-integrating relationship.

Generally, mathematical expression of JJ cointegration equation is as follow;

$$\Delta x_t = \phi x_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \vartheta_i \Delta x_{t-i} + \lambda y_t + \varepsilon_t$$
(5)

Here,

Table 1

 $X_t = (n \times 1)$  random vector of time series variables l(1)

 $\lambda y_t = (n \times 1)$  vector of constants

 $\vartheta_i = (n \times n)$  matrices of short term coefficients that is parameters of lagged difference

 $\phi = (n \times n)$  matrix of long term parameters of the error correction factor

Following are Trace & Maximal Eigenvalue test.

$$\lambda_{trace(r)} = -T \sum_{i=r+1}^{k} \ln(1 - \widehat{\lambda}_i)$$
(6)

 $\lambda_{\max}(r, r+1) = -T \ln(1 - \widehat{\lambda}_{r+1})$ 

Akiake Information Criterion is applied for choosing the lag length that is required for Vector Autoregressive technique. Similarly, bivariate cointegration technique is used between two financial time series. It is applied for examining long-run correlation between two various time series. There are few shortcomings whereas applying the Johansen multivariate cointegration to indicate lead lag correlation among variables. Hence, this paper uses granger causality technique to check causality between series which would decide that whether variation in one variable would impact on variations of other variables.

(7)

# Results

The descriptive statistics in table 1 shows the index returns of twelve stock markets. It consists of mean, median, maximum, minimum and standard deviation. Table 1 shows that average returns of all equity indexes except Japan are negative for given period. The average return of PSX is negative i.e. -1.02% with the total risk of 9.85%. Average return of Japan stock market is highest that is 0.4% amongst the chosen countries for given period at the given level of total risk that is 5.92%. The PSX is riskiest equity market with return fluctuation in between from 44.8% to -24.1%, whereas Japan market returns variation in between from 27% to -12%. The comprehensive output is that risky investor may desire PSX as the highest expected returns is up to 44.9%.

Descriptive Statis	Descriptive Statistics of selected Equity Markets							
Stock Market	Country	Mean	Median	Max.	Min.	Std. Dev.		
Returns								
PSX	Pakistan	-1.02%	-0.018	0.449	-0.242	9.85%		
BSE	India	8%	-0.012	0.273	-0.249	7.78%		
KLSE	Malaysia	33%	-0.010	0.285	-0.295	7.46%		
JCI	Indonesia	-1.16%	-0.022	0.378	-0.251	8.89%		
HIS	Hong Kong	15	-0.010	0.349	-0.254	7.841%		
CSE	China	-1.23%	-0.012	0.185	-0.226	7.6%		
NIKKEI	Japan	0.43%	-0.003	0.273	-0.121	5.92%		

# EMERGING AND DEVELOPED EQUITY MARKET

NYSE	USA	-0.21%	-0.009	0.218	-0.103	4.83%	
FTSE_100	UK	-0.07%	-0.005	0.140	-0.085	4.44%	
DAX	Germany	-0.24%	-0.013	0.294	-0.194	6.99%	
CAC_100	France	-0.08%	-0.0124	0.1923	-0.126	5.92%	
AORD	Australia	-0.3%	-0.013	0.151	-0.074	3.95%	

Table 2 shows that Pakistan Stock Exchange is significantly correlated by the developed stock markets and almost emerging markets. The table depicts that there occur 17.7%, 17.3%, 19.3%, 16.6% correlation among Pakistan Stock Exchange & China, Japan, USA, Germany respectively at 95% confidence level while 29.1%, 21.2% and 20.6% with India, Malaysia and Hong Kong respectively. The relationship between all developed stock markets is present noticeably between European countries.

### Table2

Correlation matrix for Selected Equity Markets

	PSX	BSE	KLSE	JCI	HIS	CSE	NIKKEI	NYSE	FTSE-	DAX	CAC-	AORD
									100		100	
PSX	1	.29 <sup>**</sup>	.212**	0.054	.206 <sup>**</sup>	.177 <sup>*</sup>	.173 <sup>*</sup>	.193 <sup>*</sup>	0.104	.166 <sup>*</sup>	0.148	0.105
BSE	.291**	1	0.099	.177 <sup>*</sup>	0.106	.278 <sup>**</sup>	0.109	0.094	-	0.075	0.060	0.112
									0.012			
KLSE	.212**	0.099	1	.519**	.564**	.153 <sup>*</sup>	.280 <sup>**</sup>	.449**	.382**	.390 <sup>**</sup>	.326**	.423**
JCI	0.054	.177 <sup>*</sup>	.519 <sup>**</sup>	1	.445**	0.085	.527**	.527**	.495**	.457**	.448 <sup>**</sup>	.500**
HIS	.206 <sup>**</sup>	0.106	.564**	.445**	1	0.057	.523**	.667**	.645**	.574**	.575**	.660**
CSE	.177 <sup>*</sup>	.278 <sup>**</sup>	.153 <sup>*</sup>	0.085	0.057	1	0.035	0.038	0.057	0.085	0.096	0.072
NIKKEI	.173 <sup>*</sup>	0.109	.280 <sup>**</sup>	.527**	.523**	0.035	1	.605**	.581**	.563**	.569**	.644**
NYSE	.193 <sup>*</sup>	0.094	.449**	.527**	.667**	0.038	.605**	1	.849**	.775**	.786 <sup>**</sup>	.783 <sup>**</sup>
FTSE-	0.104	-0.012	.382**	.495	.645**	0.057	.581**	.849 <sup>**</sup>	1	.816**	.859**	.775**
100												
DAX	.166 <sup>*</sup>	0.075	.390 <sup>**</sup>	.457**	.574**	0.085	.563**	.775 <sup>**</sup>	.816**	1	.915**	.682**
CAC-	0.148	0.060	.326**	.448 <sup>**</sup>	.575**	0.096	.569**	.786 <sup>**</sup>	.859**	.915**	1	.714 <sup>**</sup>
100												
AORD	0.105	0.112	.423**	.500**	.660**	0.072	.644**	.783 <sup>**</sup>	.775**	.682**	.714 <sup>**</sup>	1
J** Corro	lation is si	ignificant	at tha 0.0	1 loval ()								

{\*\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)}.

{\*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)}.

The table 3 diversified into two panels i.e. panel-A & panel-B, to test if series comprises a unit root or not. The panel-A displays outcome of log levels whereas applying ADF & PP test. The panel-A result recommends that each variable consist of unit root whereas applying ADF & PP technique. The panel-B of table 3 presents each variable at 1<sup>st</sup> difference which indicates that each variable is stationary by using ADF and PP test. Conclusively, all given variables are non-stationary at log level and stationary at 1<sup>st</sup> difference at the 95% confidence level. Therefore, all indices are integrated of order one i.e., I (1).

#### Table 3

Unit Root Analysis by Using Augmented Dickey Fuller and Phillips-Perron

Stock Index	ADF Tests	PP Tests	Stock Index	ADF Test	s PP Tests
Panel-A Log Levels	5		Panel-B 1 <sup>st</sup> Diff	erence	
PSX	-0.5108	-0.528	PSX	-12.502	-12.503
BSE	-0.3601	-0.5165	Ln BSE	-12.193	-12.252
KLSE	-1.5503	-1.348	KLSE	-10.589	-10.397
JCI	-0.3375	-0.0777	JCI	-10.343	-10.198
HIS	-1.7552	-1.6744	HIS	-11.529	-11.549
CSE	0.9112	0.63124	CSE	-11.484	-11.559

NIKKEI	-1.5675	-1.8264	NIKKEI	-11.709	-11.783	
NYSE	-2.2944	-2.4299	NYSE	-10.715	-10.755	
FTSE-100	-1.9196	-2.1564	FTSE-100	-12.16	-12.175	
DAX	-1.9026	-2.1351	DAX	-11.874	-11.895	
CAC-100	-1.9472	-2.2812	CAC-100	-11.24	-11.327	
AORD	-1.4078	-1.638	AORD	-11.283	-11.487	

#### **Test critical values:**

1% level	-3.470
5% level	-2.8785
10% level	-2.5759

As the above result shows that each variable is integrated at order one I (1), which further allow to test the Johansen and Juselius multivariate and bivariate cointegration. For this purpose, table 4 shows outcomes of Johansen's multivariate cointegration test. It pursues equally maximum eigenvalue and trace statistics. The trace statistics reveals that there are three cointegrating vectors at 5% critical values and one integrating vector at 10% critical values. Similar outcome is achieved by using maximum eigenvalue statistics. It indicates three cointegrating vectors at 5% critical value & one integrating vector at 10% critical value. Conclusively, result shows that the cointegration among subjected market exists. These results give us the opportunity to follow vector error correction model to check long run association among given series. The vector error correction model result is followed by table 4 that is table 5 along with its econometric model.

# Table 4

Multi-variate Cointegration Analysis Trace Statistics and Max-Eigen

Hypothesis	Traco Statistics	Critical Values	P-value	Max-Eigen	Critical Values	P-value
nypotnesis	Trace Statistics	at 0.05	at 0.05	Statistics	at 0.05	at 0.05
None *	422.45	334.98	0.00	88.09	76.58	0.00
At most 1 *	334.36	285.14	0.00	72.36	70.54	0.03
At most 2 *	262.00	239.24	0.00	68.56	64.50	0.02
At most 3	193.44	197.37	0.08	56.47	58.43	0.08
At most 4	136.97	159.53	0.43	45.52	52.36	0.21
At most 5	91.46	125.62	0.84	30.97	46.23	0.72
At most 6	60.49	95.75	0.94	19.15	40.08	0.98
At most 7	41.34	69.82	0.92	13.45	33.88	0.99
At most 8	27.88	47.86	0.82	12.00	27.58	0.93
At most 9	15.88	29.80	0.72	7.49	21.13	0.93
At most 10	8.40	15.49	0.42	6.57	14.26	0.54
At most 11	1.83	3.84	0.18	1.83	3.84	0.18

Table 5 describes the normalized co-integrating vector error correction on PSX. The sign of 1<sup>st</sup> error correction coefficient in determination of DPSX is negative and statistically significant at 10% confidence level. It shows speed of adjustment in long run. It implies that PSX react in long run to variation in under study market to regain the equilibrium relation once deviation occurs. Further, its measurement depicts positive and significant relationship with Indian stock market at lag 2, while negative and statistically significant relation with CSE at lag 1 and NYSE at lag 2. These negative and statistically significant relations give opportunity to the investors to spread the risk by investing in these integrating equity markets. The value of R<sup>2</sup> indicates that 25 percent variation in PSX has been explained by variation in given markets, which further shows that PSX may be affected by country own macro and micro economic factors e.g. foreign reserve, inflation, money supply etc. (Akbar et *al.*, 2012).

#### Table 5

Integration among Equity Markets by Using Vector Error Correction Model

Variables	 Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.

CointEq <sub>1</sub>	-0.050329	0.029066	-1.73155	0.0855	
ΔPSX <sub>t-1</sub>	0.050027	0.083548	0.598779	0.5503	
ΔPSX <sub>t-2</sub>	-0.046909	0.084881	-0.55265	0.5814	
ΔBSE t-1	-0.030989	0.138866	-0.22315	0.8237	
$\Delta BSE_{t-2}$	0.312062	0.106832	2.921055	0.0041	
ΔKLSE t-1	0.232841	0.148039	1.572833	0.118	
ΔKLSE t-2	-0.108227	0.152777	-0.7084	0.4799	
ΔJCI t-1	-0.088718	0.125543	-0.70667	0.4809	
ΔJCI t-2	0.180409	0.118338	1.524528	0.1296	
$\Delta HIS_{t-1}$	-0.115032	0.163951	-0.70162	0.4841	
ΔHIS <sub>t-2</sub>	0.072746	0.162326	0.448148	0.6547	
ΔCSE <sub>t-1</sub>	-0.175827	0.10549	-1.66676	0.0978	
$\Delta CSE_{t-2}$	0.092615	0.107986	0.857658	0.3925	
ΔΝΙΚΚΕΙ <sub>t-1</sub>	0.075625	0.189028	0.400073	0.6897	
ΔNIKKEI <sub>t-2</sub>	0.146593	0.178906	0.819385	0.4139	
$\Delta NYSE_{t-1}$	-0.37045	0.340136	-1.08912	0.2779	
ΔNYSE <sub>t-2</sub>	-0.751033	0.347177	-2.16326	0.0322	
$\Delta FTSE_{100_{t-1}}$	0.321567	0.50095	0.641915	0.522	
$\Delta$ FTSE_100 <sub>t-2</sub>	0.342201	0.47633	0.718413	0.4737	
ΔDAX <sub>t-1</sub>	-0.230459	0.294159	-0.78345	0.4347	
ΔDAX <sub>t-2</sub>	-0.283333	0.279432	-1.01396	0.3123	
$\Delta CAC_{100_{t-1}}$	0.09992	0.399836	0.249903	0.803	
$\Delta CAC_{100_{t-2}}$	0.41563	0.362227	1.147428	0.2531	
$\Delta \text{AORD}_{t-1}$	0.608198	0.386593	1.573227	0.1179	
ΔAORD <sub>t-2</sub>	0.195394	0.382661	0.510619	0.6104	
С	0.009598	0.007933	1.20999	0.2283	
R-squared	0.25501	F-statistic	1.944265		
Adjusted R-squared	0.12385	Prob(F-statistic)	0.008242		
Durbin-Watson stat	1.915942				

 $\Delta PSX = \alpha_1 + \beta_2 \Delta PSX_{t-1} + \beta_3 \Delta PSX_{t-2} + \beta_4 \Delta BSE_{t-1} + \beta_5 \Delta BSE_{t-2} + \beta_6 \Delta KLSE_{t-1} + \beta_7 \Delta KLSE_{t-2} + \beta_8 \Delta ICI_{t-1} + \beta_9 \Delta ICI_{t-2} + \beta_{10} \Delta HIS_{t-1} + \beta_{11} \Delta HIS_{t-2} + \beta_{12} \Delta CSE_{t-1} + \beta_{13} \Delta CSE_{t-2} + \beta_{14} \Delta NIKKEI_{t-1} + \beta_{15} \Delta NIKKEI_{t-2} + \beta_{16} \Delta NYSE_{t-1} + \beta_{17} \Delta NYSE_{t-2} + \beta_{18} \Delta FTSE_{100_{t-1}} + \beta_{19} \Delta FTSE_{100_{t-2}} + \beta_{20} \Delta DAX_{t-1} + \beta_{21} \Delta DAX_{t-2} + \beta_{22} \Delta CAC_{100_{t-1}} + \beta_{23} \Delta CAC_{100_{t-2}} + \beta_{24} \Delta AORD_{t-1} + \beta_{25} \Delta AORD_{t-2} + \epsilon_{26}$ 

Table 6 shows the pair-wise cointegration properties of PSX along the Asian uprising & the selected developed stock markets in bivariate form. The suitable lag length is one, which is in line with the AIC & SIC. Trace & Maximal-Eigenvalues test shows that PSX individually have no connection with other markets, at 5% level. This result may not attract investors, to invest in these given countries for risk diversification through portfolio.

Table 6		
Bivariate Co-integration Anal	lysis: Trace and Max-Eigen Statistics	í

Variables	Hypothosis	Trace	<b>Critical Values</b>	P-value	Max Eigon Statistics	<b>Critical Values</b>	P-value
variables	Hypothesis	Statistics	at 5%	at 5%	Widx-Eigen Statistics	at 5%	at 5%
PSX &	None	5.39	15.49	0.77	4.92	14.26	0.75
BSE	At most 1	0.48	3.84	0.49	0.48	3.84	0.49
PSX &	None	5.09	15.49	0.80	4.63	14.26	0.79
KLSE	At most 1	0.45	3.84	0.50	0.45	3.84	0.50
PSX &	None	3.15	15.49	0.96	1.65	14.26	1.00
JCI	At most 1	1.50	3.84	0.22	1.50	3.84	0.22
PSX &	None	7.96	15.49	0.47	7.53	14.26	0.43

ніс	At most 1	0.43	3.8/	0.51	0.43	3.8/	0.51
	Atmosti	0.43	3.04	0.51	0.45	3.04	0.51
PSX &	None	4.23	15.49	0.88	4.00	14.26	0.86
CSE	At most 1	0.23	3.84	0.63	0.23	3.84	0.63
PSX &	None	4.09	15.49	0.90	3.84	14.26	0.88
NIKKEI	At most 1	0.25	3.84	0.62	0.25	3.84	0.62
PSX &	None	7.21	15.49	0.55	6.99	14.26	0.49
NYSE	At most 1	0.22	3.84	0.64	0.22	3.84	0.64
PSX &	None	5.47	15.49	0.76	5.04	14.26	0.74
FTSE-100	At most 1	0.43	3.84	0.51	0.43	3.84	0.51
PSX &	None	6.48	15.49	0.64	6.03	14.26	0.61
DAX	At most 1	0.45	3.84	0.50	0.45	3.84	0.50
PSX &	None	5.15	15.49	0.79	5.09	14.26	0.73
CAC-100	At most 1	0.07	3.84	0.80	0.07	3.84	0.80
PSX &	None	8.48	15.49	0.42	8.02	14.26	0.38
AORD	At most 1	0.46	3.84	0.50	0.46	3.84	0.50

The Johansen multivariate cointegration technique needs to identify and describe lead lag association among specified countries. According to representation theorem, if variables are cointegrated to each other then there will be at least one-way granger causality. Thus, to decide about the causal affects between the given indices, we additionally inquire about the causality among the given indices by applying granger causality test. The rejection of null hypothesis in table 7 presents that PSX have a one-dimensional causal relation with NYSE at 95% confidence level & unidirectional relation with BSE and JCl at 10% confidence level. Apart from this outcome depicts that there is bi-directional causal association between KLSE and NIKKEI at the 5% confidence level & has bi-directional causal relation with AORD & HIS at 10% level of possibility. Moreover, outcomes disclose that stock market of NIKKEI, KLSE and AORD granger affects the PSX at 5% confidence level and HIS granger cause PSX at 10% confidence levels. On other side, PSX granger cause to NIKKEI & KLSE at 5% confidence level, whereas BSE, AORD, HIS and JCI at 10% confidence level.

#### Table 7

Pair-Wise Granger Causality Test for PSX

Null Hypothesis:	F-Statistic	Probability
BSE does not Granger Cause PSX	0.055	0.815
PSX does not Granger Cause BSE	2.961	0.087
JCI does not Granger Cause PSX	1.493	0.224
PSX does not Granger Cause JCI	3.296	0.071
KLSE does not Granger Cause PSX	5.922	0.016
PSX does not Granger Cause KLSE	5.461	0.021
CSE does not Granger Cause PSX	0.050	0.823
PSX does not Granger Cause CSE	0.022	0.882
HIS does not Granger Cause PSX	3.202	0.075
PSX does not Granger Cause HIS	3.039	0.083
NYSE does not Granger Cause PSX	2.318	0.130
PSX does not Granger Cause NYSE	5.103	0.025
NIKKEI does not Granger Cause PSX	3.803	0.053
PSX does not Granger Cause NIKKEI	6.336	0.013
DAX does not Granger Cause PSX	1.559	0.214
PSX does not Granger Cause DAX	1.360	0.245
FTSE_100 does not Granger Cause PSX	1.643	0.202
PSX does not Granger Cause FTSE_100	2.370	0.126
AORD does not Granger Cause PSX	8.562	0.004
PSX does not Granger Cause AORD	3.412	0.067

CAC_100 does not Granger Cause PSX	1.323	0.252
PSX does not Granger Cause CAC_100	1.740	0.189

#### Conclusion

The novelty and change accelerate the circulation of investment fund throughout. It catches the focus of both the practicing people and people from academia's interest in determining amalgamation of World's stock market. The globalization has affected an integration of financial markets like NAFTA, EU, ASEAN, MENA and Scandinavian countries. Instead of the fact that Pakistan stock exchange is a dynamic equity market but on other side it excelled globally in last decade. This research finding also depicts that the PSX is the riskiest market with the range of 44.9% to -24.12%. It might attract and persuade the risk-taking savers to gain the maximum returns up to 44.9%. The correlation matrix depicts that European countries have high level of correlation because of unrestricted movement of fund in between the European Union. On the other hand, the vector correction model proposes negative and statistically significant relationship between China and USA equity market which permits investors to spread portfolio risk. This study proposes conservative investors who have interest in PSX that not to design portfolio in relation to India market as it is positively and statistically significant to PSX. Furthermore, apart from these three markets it lacks long-run relation, which also permits the investors of these markets to gain out of portfolio diversification by investing in Pakistan stock exchange.

One of the causes of not having strong long-run relation is lacking both way free fund flows among chosen countries. Unluckily, Pakistan fund flow with well-established world is unidirectional i.e. outflow. Pakistan's imports are greater than its exports which result in adverse balance of trade. Moreover, depleted foreign reserves result in volatile exchange rate, which make foreign investors reluctant towards Pakistan stock market. This study recommends government to give more attention to macro and micro economic indicators to attract foreign investors by investing in financial securities. It will further improve the level of fund inflow in Pakistan.

# References

- Akbar, M., Ali S., & Khan F. (2012). The relationship of stock prices and macroeconomic variables revisited: Evidence from Karachi stock exchange. *AJBM*, 6 (4), 1315-1322.
- Arsyad N. (2015). Integration between East and Southeast Asian equity markets. *Journal of Financial Economic* Policy, 7 (2),104 – 121.
- Asjeet, S. L. (2005). Analysis of the short- and long-run relationships between South Asian and developed equity markets. *International Journal of Business*, 10(4), 1083–4346.
- Dickey, D.A., & Fuller W.F. (1979). Distribution of estimates for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of American Statistical Association*, 74, 427-31.
- Dickey, D.A. and Fuller W.F. (1981). The likelihood ratio statistic for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Econometrica*, 49(4), 1057-1072.
- Engle, R.F., & Granger (1987). Co-integration and error correction regression estimation and testing. Econometrica, 52 (2), 251-76.
- Iqbal A., Khalid N., & Rafiq S. (2011). Dynamic interrelationship among the stock markets of India, Pakistan and United states. *World Academy of Science, Engineering and Technology*, 98-104.
- Johansen, S. (1991). Estimation and hypothesis testing of co-integrating vectors in Gaussian vector autoregressive models. *Econometrica*, 59, 1551-1580.
- Kadir, K., & Suzan, E. (2010). Stock Market Integration among Balkan Countries. MIBES Transactions, 4(1), 49-59.
- Kashif, H., & Arshad H. (2011).Casual and dynamic linkage of stock markets: An empirical study of Karachi Stock Exchange (KSE) with emerging and developed equity markets. African Journal of Business Management, 5(19), 7802-7817.
- Lim, K., Brooks, R. D., & Hinich, M. J. (2008). Nonlinear serial dependence and the weak-form efficiency of Asian emerging stock markets. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*,18(5), 527-544. doi:10.1016/j.intfin.2007.08.001
- Shabri A. M., Rosylin, M. Y., & Ahmad N. R. (2007). Dynamic Financial Linkages among Selected OIC Countries. Journal of Economic Cooperation, 28 (2) 25-56.

- Majid, M.S., R. Mohd Yusof, M.R., & Razali, A.N. (2007). Dynamic financial linkages among selected OIC countries. Journal of Economic Cooperation among Muslim Countries, 28 (2),25–56
- Narayan, P., Smyth, R., & Nandha, M. (2004). Interdependence and dynamic linkages between the emerging stock markets of South Asia. *Accounting and Finance*,44(3), 419-439. doi:10.1111/j.1467-629x.2004.00113.x
- Phillips, P. C., & Perron, P. (1988). Testing for a unit root in time series regression. *Biometrika*,75(2), 335-346. doi:10.1093/biomet/75.2.335
- Wang, L. (2014). Who moves East Asian stock markets? The role of the 2007–2009 global financial crisis. *Journal* of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money, 28, 182-203. doi:10.1016/j.intfin.2013.11.003
- Worthing, A .C & Higgs, H. (2006). Weak-Form market efficiency in Asian and developed equity markets comparative tests of random walk behaviour. *Accounting Research Journal*, 19 (1), 54-63.

#### APPENDIX-A

### List of Abbreviations

AIC Akiake Information Criterion
ACEAN Accordiation of Couthoast Acian Nations
ASEAN ASSOCIATION OF SOUTHEAST ASIAN NATIONS
BSE Bombay Stock Exchange
CAC-100 Cotation Assistée en Continu (France Index)
CSE China Stock Exchange
DAX Deutscher Aktienindex (German Index)
EU European Union
FTSE-100 Financial Times Stock Exchange 100 Index
HIS Hang Seng Index
JCI Jakarta Stock Exchange Composite Index
KLSE Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange
MENA Middle East and North Africa
NAFTA North American Free Trade Agreement
NYSE New York Stock Exchange
OIC Organization of the Islamic Conference
PP Phillips-Perron
PSX Pakistan Stock Exchange
SIC Schwarz Information Criterion

Received: Jan 4<sup>th</sup>, 2018 Revisions Received: May 29<sup>th</sup>, 2018